Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/783,631	THACKERAY ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Mark A. Chapman	1756
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) Mark A. Chapman.	(3)	
(2) <u>Peter Corless</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>1 March 2005</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:  101 rejextion of previous office action.		
Claims discussed: 41-57		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: see attached.		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary</li> </ul>	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	n summary of the substance interview, since the interview
man. an		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		